

	UNIVERSITY POLICIES FOR THE COURSE «Pediatrics» Higher education level The Second Level Of Higher Education, National Qualifications Framework Of Ukraine – The 7th Level, QF-LLL – The 7th Level, FQ-EHEA – The Second Cycle Major: Educational programme 222 Medicine: Medicine Form of study full-time course Language of instruction English
Teacher(s)	Petrashenko Viktoriia Oleksandrivna
Contact	PhD, Assistant Professor of department of Pediatrics Smiian Kateryna, e-mail: smiyan-gorbunova@med.sumdu.edu.ua
Time and room for giving consultations	Municipal non-profit enterprise "Regional Children's Clinical Hospital" SRC, every tuesday 16.00-18.00.
Links to online educational platforms	https://elearning.sumdu.edu.ua/works/6914/nodes/1819804
Link to the syllabus in the course catalogue	https://pg.cabinet.sumdu.edu.ua/report/course/b9ef1997b86dfb6c191697e7dfd5f7d05150847
Communication tools	Mix.sumdu.edu.ua, email.

POLICIES

Academic integrity policy

All assignments specified in the syllabus must be completed by the student independently. Cheating during any type of assessment is prohibited. The work of a higher education student must not contain plagiarism, fabrication, falsification, or cheating. All written assignments are subject to a plagiarism check, followed by the instructor's analysis of the results in order to determine the correctness of references to textual and illustrative sources.

During the study of the course, other manifestations of academic dishonesty, as defined by the University Code of Academic Integrity, are also unacceptable.

In case of violations of academic integrity by a higher education student during the study of the course, the instructor has the right to take one of the following actions:

- reduce by up to 40% the number of points earned for a practical assignment;
- provide recommendations for revising a compulsory homework assignment with a reduction of the final score by up to 25%;
- not accept a compulsory homework assignment without granting the right to resubmit it;
- assign a retake of a written module or final assessment with a reduction of the final score by up to 15%;
- refuse to grant a retake of a written module or final assessment.

Policy on the use of artificial intelligence tools

The policy on the use of artificial intelligence tools is announced by the instructor at the beginning of the course.

Несанкціоноване використання інструментів штучного інтелекту є порушенням академічної доброчесності.

Policy on the use of open access resources

When utilizing materials from open-access sources in the preparation of assignments specified in the syllabus, students must strictly adhere to the terms of the applicable Creative Commons licenses and ensure

proper attribution in accordance with copyright regulations.

Attendance policy

Class attendance is mandatory. Under justified circumstances (e.g., illness, participation in academic mobility programs), studies may be conducted according to an individual schedule.

Policy on deadlines and retakes

In case of an unsatisfactory result, the student has the right to retake the semester exam twice - the first time to the examiner appointed by the head of the department, the second time to the commission created by the dean's office. Retaking the exam is carried out according to a separate schedule, which is approved by the dean's office. Students who did not appear for the exam without a good reason are considered to have received an unsatisfactory grade. A student's refusal to perform an examination task is certified as an unsatisfactory answer. The student has the right to receive an explanation of the received grade.

If the total number of points obtained as a result of all assessments corresponds to a passing grade (subject to the mandatory requirement of fulfilling all conditions specified in the syllabus and regulations), it is considered final; a passing grade may not be retaken for the purpose of improvement.

The elimination of academic debt is carried out in accordance with the Regulations on the Organization of the Educational Process of Sumy State University, taking into account the established deadlines, forms of assessment, and procedures for retaking assessment activities.

Policy on appealing assessment results

Appeals and consideration of applications from higher education students regarding the assessment of learning outcomes are carried out in accordance with the Regulations on the Organization of the Educational Process of Sumy State University.

Assessment criteria

The first semester of teaching

SA2. Assessment of case history writing and defense., 10 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$170 \leq RD \leq 200$ 9-10 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$140 \leq RD < 169$ 7-8 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Fair but with significant shortcomings</i>	$120 \leq RD < 139$ 6 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	$0 \leq RD < 119$ 0-5 points
SA3. Final testing, 10 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$170 \leq RD \leq 200$ 9-10 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$140 \leq RD < 169$ 7-8 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Fair but with significant shortcomings</i>	$120 \leq RD < 139$ 6 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	$0 \leq RD < 119$ 0-5 points
SA4. Assessment of performance of practical skills and manipulations, 20 scores	

5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$170 \leq RD \leq 200$ 17-20 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$140 \leq RD < 169$ 14-16 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Fair but with significant shortcomings</i>	$120 \leq RD < 139$ 12-13 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	$0 \leq RD < 119$ 0-11 points
SA5. Assessment of the level of theoretical training, 80 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$170 \leq RD \leq 200$ 68-80 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$140 \leq RD < 169$ 56-67 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Fair but with significant shortcomings</i>	$120 \leq RD < 139$ 48-55 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	$0 \leq RD < 119$ 0-47 points
SA1. Final control: exam, 80 scores	
5 (Excellent) <i>Outstanding performance without errors</i>	$170 \leq RD \leq 200$ 68-80 points
4 (Good) <i>Above the average standard but with minor errors</i>	$140 \leq RD < 169$ 56-67 points
3 (Satisfactory) <i>Fair but with significant shortcomings</i>	$120 \leq RD < 139$ 48-55 points
2 (Fail) <i>Fail – some more work required before the credit can be awarded</i>	$0 \leq RD < 119$ 0-47 points